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Abstract

Computational modeling is a well-established practice in many engineering fields. In the past decade significant work has been performed at NRL applying computational methods, specifically boundary element techniques, to shipboard electrochemical corrosion problems. Boundary element computational methods have been demonstrated as predictive tools for on-hull potential profiles. Analyses have been completed to determine whether boundary element techniques can accurately predict system performance. Hull geometries investigated include U S Navy CG hull class cruiser and CVN aircraft carrier. Issues of mesh refinement, geometric features and material characterization dominated these analyses. Accuracy was measured by comparison with physical scale model experimental results. Good agreement was shown for both potential and current values. These analyses as well as a series of parametric studies examining basic assumptions are presented as a review of the validation of boundary element methods for designing shipboard ICCP systems. In addition an investigation of boundary effects associated with physical scale modeling is presented. Accuracy, modeling
assumptions and limitations of the computational approach are discussed. In closing a comprehensive unified design approach that utilizes both physical scale modeling and computational analysis techniques is presented.
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Introduction

The primary corrosion protection system on Naval platforms is the paint system. Cathodic protection systems are typically used as a secondary line of defense against corrosion damage. In sacrificial cathodic protection systems the galvanic series and preferred corrosion of the less noble metal is used in the protection design. In impressed current cathodic protection (ICCP) systems an external power supply is used as the source to raise the potential level to range where corrosion is minimized. Even though these systems are defined as secondary protection systems one should not underestimate their importance. Their proper performance is critical to maintaining platform availability. While sacrificial systems are of interest, this paper will concentrate on the design and evaluation of ICCP systems.

The performance of these systems is a synergistic response to many factors such as geometry, conductivity of surrounding medium, material polarization response, temperature and material interactions as shown in Figure 1. Minor changes in any one of these factors have the possibility of significantly changing system performance. In addition to environmental changes the system itself can change over time. System configuration changes can result from damage or aging. With today’s changing deployment environment and a need to extend service life it has become increasingly important to define system performance under a variety of changing conditions. Simple design models are not adequate to the design and evaluation challenge presented by changing performance requirements. Computational analysis is well suited for the multiple re–evaluations of systems due to changing conditions.
Computational approaches for shipboard ICCP systems are not new. Initial work on computational modeling procedures for shipboard cathodic protection systems is well documented [1 2 3 4]. There have been multiple researchers who have evaluated different aspects of computational modeling of electrochemical corrosion. For instance recently shipboard systems have been investigated by Adey [5], Diaz [6] and Aoki et al [7]. Rather than offer a complete overview of all work performed on shipboard systems this paper focuses on major computational analysis efforts at the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) that address issues related to ICCP systems. Guidelines for the development of computational models that are based on this block of work are presented. In closing a unified design process that incorporates computational and experimental processes is presented.

Mathematical Basis for Computational Simulations

ICCP systems are electrochemical corrosion systems. LaPlace’s equation governs electrochemical corrosion for the wetted surface of a ship hull:

\[ k \nabla^2 \Phi = 0 \]  

(1)

where \( \Phi \) is the potential and \( k \) is the conductivity of the electrolyte (seawater). Eqn. 1 requires that the electrolyte be homogeneous, no
electrical sources or sinks and electroneutrality. In the case of shipboard ICCP systems the model can be defined so that these requirements are met. Seawater can be represented as a uniform mixture of multiple components, i.e. a homogeneous electrolyte. Current source points and sinks, regions of exposed metal, can be represented by boundary conditions eliminating the need to include sources and sinks in the model. Electroneutrality maintains charge equilibrium for the ship, surrounding water and ICCP system. This is accomplished by application of appropriate boundary definitions. The ICCP system consists of anodes (current sources) and reference cells. Boundary conditions commonly used are defining paint as a perfect insulating material and the use of non-linear polarization response for other materials. These boundary conditions are combined to solve for the potential and current density at all points on the wetted surface. Details on the boundary element method and solution procedures can be found in many textbooks such as ref.8. Analysis is performed for the corroding state not corrosion initiation.

LaPlace's equation is equally valid for any computational simulation technique. Past experience has established that boundary element approach in which the surface of the structure is modeled is the most appropriate for shipboard systems. In another competing approach, the finite element technique, the volume of seawater surrounding the ship must be represented by a meshed volume. In the boundary element technique the surrounding medium, usually a large volume of seawater, is not modeled but simply enclosed by a mathematically defined boundary and only the hull surface must be meshed in detail resulting in smaller memory and computer time requirements.

Experimental Process
A key feature in validation of boundary element method is the comparison of experimental and calculated results. In PS modeling the structural dimensions and the conductivity of the electrolyte are scaled by the same factor. A detailed explanation of PS modeling can be found in DeGiorgi et al [9]. The theoretical basis for this mechanical scaling for shipboard systems has been presented by Ditchfield [10]. In PS modeling
the scaled model and the full size structure maintain identical current
density values at points, identical potential differences at points, identical
polarization potentials at the anode and cathode and an identical
potential drop across the electrolyte. Validation of the procedure has
involved comparison with sea trial data [11 12 13]. Currently PS modeling
as conducted by NRL Center for Corrosion Science and Engineering is the
design practice for U. S. Navy shipboard systems [14].

PS modeling of CG and CVN hulls and associated ICCP systems was
completed at NRL Center for Corrosion Science and Engineering. Detailed
current and potential information is obtained from embedded sensors for
a variety of damage and service conditions including those modeled in
the boundary element analysis.

Computational Analyses

NRL has completed detailed computational solutions for two different
ship geometries. A primary goal was to validate the computational
approach by detailed comparison with PS model experimental results.
Later analyses were completed to examine basic modeling assumptions.
Factors common to all analyses performed are given in this section.

A boundary element analysis requires the creation of a mesh representing
the underwater hull. In the work presented propellers are modeled as a
solid disk of equivalent area attached to the hull by a single connecting
solid beam representing the main strut for the support system. The disks
are defined so that there is sufficient thickness to avoid numerical
problems associated with thin sections. The boundary element mesh
represents the interface of the ship hull and surrounding seawater. This
mesh is enclosed in an outer box that represents a large but finite
volume of seawater. Some boundary element programs have boundary
condition option of infinite domain. This option was not used in early
analyses. The domain is defined sufficiently large enough so that edge
effects on the potential profile of the surface ship are negligible. In all
cases symmetry conditions were invoked and half of the hull was
modeled. This was done in the interest of saving computational time and
resources. There is no requirement for symmetry. Symmetry conditions
were also used to define the water surface. This is a standard approach
in boundary element methods. The commercial boundary element codes
BEASY–CP [15] and Frazer–Nash Detailed Modeller [16] were used for the
analyses presented. The commercial code PATRAN [17] was used for
model generation. In addition customized computer programs for
translation and display of data were developed at NRL.

Two design paint damage conditions were used in the analyses, minimum
(2.8% of the hull surface area is damage paint) and maximum (15% of the
hull surface area is damaged paint). The location and size of damaged
paint regions was defined by protocols provided by NRL Center for
Corrosion Science and Engineering and Naval Sea Systems Command.
Damaged paint areas are defined as exposed metal surfaces in the
boundary element models. This duplicates the conditions for the PS
model where painted surface is represented by fiberglass and damaged
paint areas are represented by strips of uncoated metal attached to the
PS model hull.

The basic design matrix consists of four cases created by the pairing of
two service flow conditions, static and dynamic, with each damage
condition. Static flow represents ship at rest or in port conditions.
Dynamic flow condition represents ship underway conditions.

Reference cells and anode locations in the computational model duplicate
as close as possible the locations in the PS models. In cases where port
and starboard anode locations are not strictly symmetric, the boundary
element model anode is placed at the average of the port and starboard
locations. The decision to use port–starboard symmetry in the
computational model was based on model size and existing
computational resources when the analyses were initiated.

In all cases anode values are defined as input values. Mathematically the
solution does not matter on the choice of boundary conditions to define
the source anodes. All other values are calculated as part of the solution
process. A candidate solution consists of a computer run in which the
potential of the reference cells is at the target potential –0.85 Volts Ag/AgCl electrode. Reference cell readings are the calculated potential values at the mesh point that is at the reference cell location. A feasible solution occurs when the total power required is within the power supply capacity is defined as part of the ICCP system design. This is not a constraint required by LaPlace's equation. A feasible solution is determined through a multiple run process in which anode input values are varied.

CG Hull Analyses
The initial goal of the CG hull analysis was to determine if boundary element techniques could be used to accurately predict system performance [18 19 20]. The hull geometry investigated was a US Navy CG hull class destroyer. Three different ICCP systems were evaluated. The first to be evaluated was a single power zone, 6-anode system. This analysis determined that it was feasible to create a computational model that yielded reasonable results. The other two systems are 2 power supply zone systems and have 6 and 7 anodes, respectively. Issues of mesh refinement, geometric features and material characterization dominated these analyses. The two zone system analyses are summarized below.

The original model used in the CG analysis consisted of 573 rectangular elements and yielded unsatisfactory results. A mesh refinement study performed demonstrated that a significantly higher degree of mesh refinement was required. Once the mesh study was completed, a 3D representation of the bilge keel was added to the model. This model was used in the later CG work and consists of 1583 8-noded rectangular elements (Figure 2). The elements were flat surfaces so the curved ship hull was modeled as a faceted surface.
Early in the analysis process polarization response was identified as a critical issue. Initial results were poor but changing polarization input response to data that more accurately represented the PS environment resulted in good agreement between experimental and computational results as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Props.</th>
<th>Docking Blocks</th>
<th>Forward System</th>
<th>Aft System</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. Damage</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Current demand (Amps) for CG analysis. Three measurements for evaluating results; total current to components (props. and docking blocks), current from forward and aft systems and total current.
Reference cell reading = -0.85 V Ag/AgCl

A typical potential profile is shown in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows the comparison between sea trails data, PS modeling data and computational modeling calculated results for the USS Princeton. The comparison of
experimental and calculated results based determined that the accuracy of computational results is directly related to the accuracy of the input polarization data used. However, it was observed that performance trends were similar even when magnitudes showed poor agreement indicating it is possible with any reasonable polarization response to perform basic system design work.

Figure 3 – Potential profile for CG hull class ship; comparison of sea trails, experimental (PS Modeling) and computational, 10 m below waterline.

CVN Hull Analyses
Information gained about computational modeling of shipboard ICCP systems through the CG models was applied to the analysis of a CVN aircraft carrier hull. The CVN hull is geometrically more complex than the CG hull and the CVN ICCP system is more complex. The CVN ICCP system consists of 3 independent power supplies and 17 anodes. The model of the CVN hull (Figure 4) was created based on the lessons learned in the CG analyses.
The boundary element mesh consists of 1884 linear–quadratic displacement 9–noded rectangular elements. The 9–node configuration consists of 8 exterior mesh points that define the element geometry and 1 mesh point placed at the centroid of the element. The centroidal node allows for curvature of the element. This element type was not available for the earlier work. The 9–noded element allows for more accurate modeling of the curved hull surface.

The source of polarization data was chosen so that PS modeling testing procedures would be represented by the polarization response. A typical potential contour is shown in Figure 5. Total current requirements for dynamic conditions are shown in Table 2. Detailed comparisons of calculated and experimental results are presented in Ref. 21. While potential profiles and magnitudes were accurately predicted, there was a larger degree of variation in amperage values than for the CG analysis. Possible reasons for these differences were identified as model simplification and polarization response.
Figure 5 – Calculated potential contour profile for CVN hull class ship, minimum paint damage static flow conditions.

Table 2 – Current demand (Amps) for CVN system, dynamic flow conditions; reference cell reading = -0.85 V Ag/AgCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Props.</th>
<th>Docking Blocks</th>
<th>Rudder</th>
<th>Bilge Keel</th>
<th>Water-Line</th>
<th>Struts</th>
<th>Hull</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min.Damage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>190.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS Model</td>
<td>201.1</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>314.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.Damage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>189.8</td>
<td>185.2</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>206.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>791.0</td>
<td>1718.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS Model</td>
<td>228.2</td>
<td>181.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>290.8</td>
<td>229.8</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>759.5</td>
<td>1837.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All computational models are simplifications of the actual geometry. One difficult area in the CVN hull that required simplification was the bilge keel. Despite best efforts to match bilge keel profile and attachment angles there were differences between computational and PS models.
These variations in bilge keel geometry are probably a contributing factor in variations observed for amperage required for mid-hull, i.e. bilge keel region, damaged areas.

The polarization data used was determined from small-scale single material specimens tested in scale seawater to match the experimental environment. A review of data after analyses were completed indicated that there were other significant differences between the PS modeling test environment and the laboratory polarization experiments. While material interactions were not included in the laboratory determination of polarization response it is highly likely that these will occur due to the geometry of the hull, location of damage and location of appendages. In addition film coatings, not taken into account in the laboratory polarization response, were noted on some metal surfaces of the PS model. These variations are contributing factors to the differences in results.

Boundary Definition Assumption Evaluations

As noted earlier, any computational analysis depends on simplifying assumptions. Even with current computational capabilities, expanded memory and faster processing speeds there is always a limit to the size of computational problem that can be readily solved. It is the intent of the work at NRL to provide an analysis approach that can be used on readily available computational resources. There is always a trade off between accuracy and computational simplifications. Therefore NRL embarked on a series of studies to determine the effects of commonly accepted simplifications. Studies completed and reported have dealt with means of defining damage (holiday vs. larger areas) [22], characterization of painted surfaces [23], and seawater properties [24]. In addition sensitivity studies that examine PS experimental tank geometry, tank material and relative size of tank to PS model have been completed [25 26].

The CG mesh was used for the seawater resistivity analysis. A twenty percent range of seawater conductivity centered on the nominal value
was evaluated. This range was defined based on reported variation in seawater in different temperate zones. The analysis indicated that these moderate variations in seawater do result in moderate changes in system power requirements to maintain the set point. Of more importance was the fact that reference cell placement was shown to become a critical issue with changing seawater conductivity. Reference cell placement that provided adequate system performance at one conductivity level may or may not provide adequate system performance at a different level. Full field contours of potential levels can be obtained from the computational model and used for reference cell placement in the design process. Potential profiles at a single depth were shown to not provide a true picture of hull performance.

The CG and CVN meshes were both used for the damage and paint resistivity studies. Essentially it was determined that modeling damaged areas as totally bare regions was conservative. Defining damage element by element also yielded conservative results. Upper bound power requirements would be determined by this approach. Realistic values of paint resistivity were shown to have a marginal effect on computational analysis.

The CVN mesh was used in a series of studies that evaluated the effects of tank geometry and model size on experimental results. This study evaluated the possible edge effects that may occur for a PS model of a defined size when placed in different tanks as part of the PS modeling process. Possible effects based on tank wall material and tank geometry was completed. It was determined that the boundary element method provided a useful tool for the experimentalist in the interpretation of measured results.

**Guidelines for Use of Boundary Element Methods**

In summary guidelines for the use of boundary element techniques to design and evaluate shipboard ICCP systems are:
• A more refined model is needed than is traditionally associated with boundary element techniques.

• Accurate modeling of relatively small-scale features, such as bilge keels, is necessary.

• The accuracy of computational results is directly dependent on the accuracy and appropriateness of the polarization data used as material characterization input data.

• Preliminary design and trend studies can be successfully completed using less than optimum polarization data. Trends in performance can be determined even though magnitudes will be suspect.

• Variations in seawater conductivity that correspond to changes in deployment region can be significant to system performance and should be incorporated into the design basis.

• Modeling damaged paint as totally bare metal is a conservative approach.

• Modeling paint as a perfect insulating material is acceptable depending on the accuracy of results required.

The need to further address simplifying assumptions used in the computational approach is being addressed by on-going and planned work. Topics identified for evaluation include use of symmetry boundary condition for the water surface, effects of free flood spaces, variations in damage patterns, seabed proximity, seabed characteristics and ship hull symmetry. The last deals with the accurate modeling of a non-symmetric ICCP system on a symmetric ship hull. Symmetry was used in the past as a simplifying assumption to reduce computational problem size. The present computational resources due to advances in computing have greatly relaxed problem size limitations. It is hoped that these studies will continue to provide guidance for the creation of new system models and analyses.
In addition it has been shown that computational modeling:

- Allows for the evaluation of reference cell locations based on a variety of environmental and service conditions.
- Allows for the quick evaluation of different system configurations and environmental conditions to determine the influence on system performance.
- Provides a means to evaluate experimental process and assist in scale selection.

Unified Design Approach

Previously NRL had proposed a combined design methodology that relied on both computational and experimental procedures [27]. In their original outline of this combined approach NRL presented the computational modeling as primarily a means to reduce the number of iterations of PS modeling required in the design cycle. The advances in computational techniques and associated increased confidence in the results of computational modeling of ICCP systems has changed this proposed interaction. A new comprehensive unified modeling approach for ICCP system has been proposed [#ref9] that relies more heavily on an understanding between analysts and experimentalists. Computational modeling can be used, not only as a preliminary design tool, but to establish the PS modeling test matrix. Key test parameters, such as model scale and relative size to tank size, can be determined computationally. The unified design approach is shown schematically in Figure 6. In addition to linking the two methodologies it is also a means for clear and constant communication between the experimentalist and computational analyst. Each of these practitioners must realize that their particular portion of the overall design approach has its own strengths and weaknesses. For instance PS modeling does not have the polarization response as input data concerns that worry computational modeling. In a like manner computational modeling can provide quick evaluations of changes in system or environmental parameters that
requires a much greater time experimentally. Working together the two approaches can result in a more effective design. The interchange should be seen as almost constant after initial design analysis rather than a linear iterative process.

Conclusion

This paper reviews the large body of work on computational modeling of ICCP system performed by NRL. A summary of modeling guidelines developed as a result of this work is presented. These guidelines are the basis for the continual acceptance of computational modeling as a viable design tool. A brief discussion of how computational and experimental work can be combined is presented. Computational analysts and experimentalists who deal with PS modeling are already working together to establish a clearer understanding of the phenomenon used in PS modeling. This understanding will provide insight into the computational process and calculated results. The end result from this collaboration between computational and experimental methodologies will be a robust and rationally based design and evaluation methodology for shipboard ICCP systems. The unified approach will provide the end-user with a more effective and efficient system design for a wide range of operating environments and conditions. The ultimate end product will be more
versatile system designs and evaluations that allow for extended platform life.
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